

APR 24 2003

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

CATHY A. CATTERSON
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

DIANE WILLIS,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY OF NORTH
AMERICA, a corporation,

Defendant - Appellee.

No. 01-56812

D.C. No. CV-99-12093-WJR

MEMORANDUM*

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Central District of California
William J. Rea, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted April 7, 2003**
Pasadena, California

Before: SCHROEDER, Chief Judge, THOMPSON, and GRABER, Circuit Judges.

Diane Willis appeals pro se the district court's judgment following a bench trial in her action brought under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and may not be cited to or by the courts of this circuit except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

** This panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

(“ERISA”), 29 U.S.C. § 1001, et seq. We have jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1291. We review for clear error questions of fact, *Deegan v. Cont’l Cas. Co.*, 167 F.3d 502, 508-09 (9th Cir. 1999), and we affirm.

The district court did not clearly err in discounting the opinion of Dr. Hohl in favor of thorough opinions from other doctors determining that Willis was not totally disabled. Accordingly, we affirm the district court’s judgment in favor of the defendant, finding that Willis was not totally disabled from work in any substantially gainful occupation.

AFFIRMED.